

# Joint Committee of the Planning Board and Planning, Licenses \& Development Committee 

## AGENDA

Monday, August 14, 2023

6:30 PM

City Hall, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Floor Council Chambers

## 1. Roll Call

2. Approval of Meeting Minutes - July 10, 2023
3. Public Workshop
a. Ordinance - 0-2023-13 - Relating to Zone Change. Petitioners JRR Properties LLC \& the Monadnock Conservancy, on behalf of owner JRR Properties LLC, proposes to amend the Zoning Map of the City of Keene by changing the zoning designation of the property located at 0 Ashuelot St (TMP \#567-001-000) from High Density to Commerce. The total area of land that would be impacted by this request is 3.53 ac .
4. New Business
5. Next Meeting - Monday, September 11, 2023
6. Adjourn

City of Keene
New Hampshire

Monday, July 10, 2023
Planning Board
Members Present:
Harold Farrington, Chair
David Orgaz,Vice-Chair
Mayor George S. Hansel
Armando Rangel
Ryan Clancey
Randyn Markelon, Alternate

## Planning Board

Members Not Present:
Councilor Michael Remy
Emily Lavigne-Bernier
Roberta Mastrogiovanni
Tammy Adams, Alternate
Gail Somers, Alternate
Kenneth Kost, Alternate

## I) Roll Call

 approved.
## III) Public Workshop

Chair Farrington called the meeting to order at 6:30 PM and a roll call was taken.

## II) Approval of Meeting Minutes - April 10, 2023

A motion was made by Mayor George Hansel that the Joint Committee approve the April 10, 2023 meeting minutes. The motion was seconded by David Orgaz and was unanimously

Ordinance-0-2023-12 - Relating to Zone Change. Petitioner and owner Monadnock Habitat for Humanity, Inc. proposes to amend the Zoning Map of the City of Keene by changing the zoning designation of the property located at 0 Old Walpole Rd (TMP 503-005-000) from Rural to Low Density. The total area of land that would be impacted by this request is 7.1 ac .
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Michael Conway, volunteer for Habitat for Humanity, and Matthew Keenan, President of Monadnock Habitat for Humanity, addressed the Committee. Mr. Keenan stated they own property on Old Walpole Road which was donated for construction of affordable housing. He indicated the land is too big to construct just one house and make it affordable for their clientele and the feasible solution was to sub-divide the property and locate additional housing. The first step in that process is to request a zoning change from Rural to Low Density which would go along with the properties that surround the land with one exception.

He further stated in order to have more lots they would need access to City water and sewer and the applicant has an estimate of the cost to extend the water and sewer line. He added their construction would be a multi-year project.

Councilor Jones explained when the Joint Committee looks at a zone change it cannot be project specific. The Committee has to look at everything possible with that zone change and whether it is in keeping with surrounding properties. Mr. Conway stated their rationale for the zone change is that it is in keeping with the surrounding properties and the request is also in keeping with the goals of the master plan. Mr. Conway stated affordable housing is a huge issue for the City and felt this is one way to solve that problem.

Councilor Bosley asked for costs associated with extending the water and sewer line. Mr. Conway stated they have a rough budgetary figure of $\$ 600,000$. The Councilor asked whether the applicant has worked with the Community Development Department to see if LD-1 could be a possible zoning change which is a version of Low Density that does not require water but does require sewer. Mr. Conway stated sewer is the biggest issue, but they are also looking at the possibility of tying into the sewer on Butternut Drive via an easement. The Councilor asked whether the applicant had discussed with the City the possibility of expansion of those lines in the future which might benefit the applicant. Mr. Conway stated they have had a conversation and it was indicated there might be a possibility but there was no assurance.

Staff comments were next.
Ms. Brunner addressed the Committee and stated that, as was indicated by Councilor Jones, when considering this zoning change all uses in the area need to be considered and not just this one use. She indicated this request is to change one parcel of land in the Rural District to Low Density. The total impacted land area will be 7.1 acres. This parcel is located on Old Walpole Road and it is surrounded by the Rural District on three sides, including across the road, and the rear portion abuts the Low Density District.

Ms. Brunner went on to say, in terms of consistency with the master plan - looking at the community vision, she noted the master plan has six vision focus areas and the focus area that is probably most relevant to this application is Focus Area 1 - Quality Built Environment. Under this vision focus area, the community stated "achieving a quality built environment includes providing quality housing and balancing growth and the provision of infrastructure."
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Ms. Brunner noted this area of the City is close to City water and sewer but the City sewer is further away and would require extension of both those lines. However, it is definitely feasible to connect to both City water and sewer.

Ms. Brunner stated this proposed zoning change would also provide the opportunity for more housing in that it would increase the density that would be allowed on the lot. Currently, in the Rural District with a subdivision you are allowed up to three units but in the Low Density district, if an applicant subdivided with using the Conservation Residential Development (CRD) option you can get as many as 30 units (not including site constraints).

With respect to the future land use plan in the master plan, the master plan identifies areas that are suitable for future growth and areas where growth should be constrained. This parcel is right on the edge of two of those areas. It is on the edge of what is referred to as Conservation Residential Development/Rural/Low Density Residential/Agriculture area (CRD category). The future land use and policy section notes that the CRD category includes areas for continued preservation of open space, agriculture and rural residential uses. It also states this area would be appropriate for conservation residential development type subdivisions.

The secondary growth areas are identified as consisting of single family with low to medium density development.

Ms. Brunner went on to say the proposed zoning change would allow for a single-family development or if the land is developed as a conservation residential development subdivision in the Low Density district, it could be developed as single family, 2-family or small multi-family with up to six units on each lot.

The final portion of the Master Plan relevant to this request is the Housing chapter. Ms. Brunner noted in the application narrative, the applicant states "the purpose of this proposal is to create an opportunity for the development of affordable workforce housing. The Comprehensive Master Plan identifies housing as a fundamental challenge for the community as cost of community services continue to increase and Keene's reliance on property taxes places a growing financial burden on its residents and businesses. The housing chapter discusses the importance of providing a balanced, and diverse housing stock."

Ms. Brunner stated this proposal would provide for the potential for higher density of housing development in an area that is on the edge of existing development (low to medium density). It is also on the edge of where City water and service could be provided.

Ms. Brunner then provided an overview of the two zoning districts. The current zoning is Rural which is intended to provide for areas of very low density development, predominantly of residential or agricultural nature. Generally, the properties in the Rural District are beyond the valley floor where City water and sewer service are not readily available.

The Low Density district is intended to provide for low intensity single family residential development and all uses in the Low Density district shall have City water and sewer service.
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With respect to the district uses for those two zoning districts. Ms. Brunner stated the proposed change to go from Rural to Low Density would significantly decrease the number of permitted uses that are allowed on the site. She indicated she did not believe there are any uses that are allowed in Low Density that are not allowed in the Rural District. However, there are many that are allowed in the Rural District that are not allowed in Low Density. For example, manufactured housing, manufactured housing park, agriculture related education and recreational activities as a business, animal care facility, bed and breakfast, event venue, greenhouse/nursery kennel, recreation/entertainment facility - outdoors, cemetery, farming, golf course, gravel pit, and solar energy systems, including small scale, medium scale, and large scale.

However, the uses listed above are not allowed in Low Density and won't be permitted on this property if this request is approved.

Ms. Brunner went on to say that the dimensional requirements are fairly similar. The main difference will be minimum lot size that is allowed in the Rural District. Currently in the Rural District it is two acres whereas in the Low Density district it will be 10,000 square feet. The Rural District also has larger setback requirements and is more restrictive in terms of maximum building coverage and maximum impervious coverage that is permitted. However, the required road frontage in the Low Density district is slightly higher compared to the Rural District.

As far as the implications of the proposed change, there are two major items staff identified. As mentioned previously: first, one is potential density of development - under the current zoning the maximum number of dwelling units would be three because the parcel is less than 10 acres in size, and therefore not eligible for a CRD subdivision. If it is rezoned to Low Density, because it is more than five acres in size, it could be developed as a CRD subdivision within the Low Density District. This means the applicant could get significant density out of the parcel the maximum number of dwelling units would be 30 . However, whether the applicant could get that many units would depend on site constraints.

Ms. Brunner added if the applicant were to develop the property just doing front lots where their frontage is on Old Walpole Road, because of the frontage requirement in the Low Density District, the maximum number of units under that scenario would be 13 . Hence, 13 to 30 would be the potential for development.

With respect to City water and sewer service. The zoning regulation states as follows: all uses in the Low Density district shall have City water and sewer service. Therefore, the property owner would be required to extend City water and sewer to the site at their own expense prior to any developments. Ms. Brunner stated she did reach out to City engineering staff, and they assured her there is sufficient volume and pressure available to connect to City water. However, the public main would need to be extended and depending on the nature of the development, they may be required to provide one or more hydrants.

In addition, while there is sufficient capacity available in the existing sewer main on Old Walpole Road, this is located further away at the intersection of Hilltop Drive. Hence, the developer would need to install a pump in order to reach the property. The applicant did note they are seeking options to try and connect this sewer service on Butternut Drive, which is much

July 10, 2023
closer. The constraint with this option is that they would need to secure an easement to get that sewer line to run across private property. This concluded staff comments.

Councilor Bosley asked why the option for LD-1 has not been discussed, which she felt was a less restrictive option and asked whether there would be any restriction for CRD with LD-1. Ms. Brunner stated the applicant did consider LD-1; however, connection to City water was not a barrier for them, whereas connecting to City sewer was a bigger issue for the applicant. She added CRD would be an option with LD-1 as well, but this would limit the number of houses to seven (assuming they only connect to city sewer and not city water).

Councilor Bosley stated she was concerned with lot 4 being isolated with this zoning change and asked why this zoning change would not be extended to accommodate lot 4. Ms. Brunner stated the applicant did reach out to the owner of that parcel and the owner of that parcel was not interested in that parcel being rezoned.

Councilor Jones referred to page 22 - dimensional requirements - he noted Ms. Brunner had referred to three districts and asked for clarification. Ms. Brunner stated it should be just two districts: Rural and Low Density.

Chair Farrington stated this is not a public hearing but instead a public workshop. However, he invited the public to comment on this proposal as that is the Committee's practice.

Mr. Todd Palmer of 142 Old Walpole Road (lot 4) stated that by changing the zoning of his property (the lot 4 that was previously mentioned) he gains nothing by it and wanted to leave his property as is. He stated he did not have any further questions at this time but stated he did look forward to having Habitat for Humanity as his neighbors.

Mr. Jim Craig, an abutter across the street stated he own 33 acres of land which is entirely in the Rural District and has enjoyed his property as it exists for the past 47 years. He stated Rural District minimum lot size was recently changed from five acres to two acres and referred to the increase to density this has yielded and the request to further change the zoning to Low Density would increase that density. He also added if water and sewer are connected across the street, then that would that give him the opportunity to locate on his property as well - he did not feel that would be a good option.

Mr. Craig stated he is also concerned about the wildlife in this area. He also referred to the area of land across his property that slopes up and the water that comes down that slope that empties into three drains and felt it was important to keep this area as wooded as possible. He also indicated his driveway as recent as last week has washed out. Mr. Craig felt if this area is changed, then he would also have the right to change his property (or someone else who might acquire his property in the future) and questioned where this ends. He felt the Committee has done a good job in changing the minimum lot size in the Rural District from five acres to two acres and felt the change should end at that.

Mr. David Bergeron of 139 Old Walpole Road was the next speaker. He stated he likes the work Habitat for Humanity does but going from the ability to locate three lots versus up to 30 lots
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concerns him. Mr. Bergeron referred to the map in the Committee's packet and stated this property is not surrounded by the Low Density district but rather by the Rural District, although it does have Low Density at the rear. The Rural District properties close to the applicant's property range from two acres to 30 acres. He stated he disagrees when it is said that changing to Low Density would be in keeping with the character of the neighborhood. Mr. Bergeron questioned what happens if Habitat for Humanity does not prevail in their fundraising to connect to sewer or acquire an easement to go down Butternut Drive. The property then gets sold and the new owner would do whatever they want to at that point and locate as many houses as they like.

Mr. Bergeron went on to say the character of the area is two acre lots and going to Low Density would increase to four lots per acre and would not be keeping with the area and could be a detriment to the area. He felt if Habitat for Humanity keeps the property as Rural they could get three lots and perhaps four with a variance.

Mr. Nick Leone of 178 Old Walpole Road stated he owns 2.1 acres and stated 30 units seem excessive for this property. He felt this type of development would disrupt the wildlife that exists in this area. Mr. Leone also expressed concern about excessive noise and traffic - traffic is already an issue.

Ms. Laura Tobin of Keene referred to the various uses that could be located in the Rural District which are not residential in nature. She noted most people who have raised concern have talked about the many residential properties but have not raised concern about perhaps a school being built or the other uses that could be allowed. Ms. Tobin felt having houses close together preserves an area. She noted the master plan calls for development of a neighborhood and having houses close together does that.

Ms. Jessica Wright of 108 Butternut Drive addressed the Committee next. Ms. Wright stated she has lived in her property since 2007 and has enjoyed the privacy that comes along with her property and stated she is concerned about this application. She noted that Maple Acres, which is in this neighborhood, have sewer problems a lot and they fail very easily. Another individual who also resides at 108 Butternut Drive stated the proposed property today is a "pond" and when it gets developed the water would have to go somewhere which would impact everyone on Butternut Drive. He added neighbors on Butternut Drive already have problems with drainage and this development would only exasperate that problem. Ms. Wright stated she was approached by Habitat for Humanity looking for an easement across her property and based on the concerns expressed, she has declined.

Mr. Nick Bergman of 122 Butternut Drive stated currently they have no issues with water in their basement but many homes in the neighborhood do. Mr. Bergman stated he has concerns about existing wildlife that will be disrupted because of this development. Mr. Bergman indicated he has great concerns about rezoning this property from Rural to Low Density.

Mr. Steve Rennick of 26 Butternut Drive addressed the Committee next and stated the existing drains cannot handle the water that already comes from Autumn Hill and Apollo Avenue. He indicated there are already three drains very close to his property and when it rains like it has in the last few days, the drains cannot handle the water. Mr. Rennick stated in the 28 years he has
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owned his property, he has replaced his basement three times. All sewer drains have been repaired except for the one at the corner of Kennedy Drive and Liberty Lane. Nothing has been replaced on Autumn Hill, Apollo Avenue and by adding more housing, there is no way the existing drains are going to be able to handle any more drainage. Mr. Resnick stated it cost him $\$ 30,000$ to replace the drains under his house because they keep collapsing and the same is true for all drains on Butternut Drive; adding more housing will make the existing situation even worse. Mr. Resnick added insurance does not cover such costs unless a homeowner has "special insurance".

Todd Palmer of 142 Old Walpole Road addressed the Committee again and stated he supports Mr. Bergeron and Mr. Craig's comments who have researched this item more than he has.

Chair Farrington noted four letters were received by the Planning Department in support of this application. The letters are from The Richards Group, Monadnock Interfaith Project, Cheshire Medical Center and Insurance Source. Copies of the letters were emailed to Committee members and hard copies are on their desks.

With no further comments, the Chairman closed public workshop.
Chair Bosley asked how many lots can be placed on a property if CRD was considered in the Rural District. Ms. Brunner stated CRD would not be permitted under the current zoning as the minimum lot size is ten acres. The applicant could request a variance but they would still be restricted to three units.

Mayor Hansel stated the committee is only looking at zoning, but he does hear the concerns raised with respect to flooding, runoff etc. He stated this, however, would need to be looked at as part of a development review process by the Planning Board. What the committee is looking at today is a zoning change against the master plan done in 2010. He added the public would have an opportunity to address these issues before the full Council.

Councilor Giacomo stated when he read the summary it felt like a good suggestion. He stated that after hearing testimony today, he has concerns about the drainage issue and any more intense development could cause serious issues for the neighborhood. He added the committee's only recommendation today would be whether to send this item to a broader public hearing. He stated he would like this item to be reviewed by a larger group.

Councilor Jones agreed with Councilor Giacomo that this item needs to be sent to the full Council and this committee's vote is just a formality. He added even if the PLD Committee voted no, the applicant has the right to be heard before the full Council.

Councilor Ormerod stated he is biased in finding sites for workforce housing, but this may not be an ideal site. However, he felt it needs to be reviewed by the full Council.

Mayor Hansel stated from the Planning Board perspective this is a difficult item to review as you can pick sentences out of the master plan that support this type of zoning change and ones that would be against it. He added from his perspective the master plan would be in support of this
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zoning change but felt there should be a caveat to say it is not very clear - the master plan is at a high level intentionally, so to get specific can be challenging. The Chairman agreed and added if this item goes to site plan review, he can see many significant issues with water which would need to be addressed.

Councilor Giacomo stated if this site was accessed off Butternut Drive it might seem to be more in line as opposed to access off Old Walpole Road which is not a residential road.

Councilor Bosley explained to the public that the Planning Licenses and Development Committee would vote tonight to request the Mayor set a public hearing, which is a formality and encouraged the public to make their voices heard, in support or against this item at that public hearing. After that public hearing the Council will decide as to whether this zoning change should be approved or not.

A motion was made by Mayor George Hansel that the Planning Board finds Ordinance O-202312 consistent with the Comprehensive Master Plan of 2010. The motion was seconded by David Orgaz and was unanimously approved.

A motion was made by Councilor Giacomo that the Mayor set a public hearing on this item. The motion was seconded by Councilor Jones and was unanimously approved.
IV) Invest NH - Housing Academy Lessons Learned Presentation - As part of the Invest NH Housing Opportunity Grant Program, Councilor Raleigh Ormerod and Pamela Russel-Slack attended a statewide Housing Academy. They will present on lessons learned from the program.

Ms. Pamela Russel-Slack addressed the Committee first and stated she and Councilor Ormerod have attended five statewide Housing Academy workshops attended by nearly 60 or 70 other individuals. Ms. Russell Slack stated the goal for the future is to invite other towns to attend a session to see what other ideas can be shared with this committee.

Councilor Ormerod started the presentation and stated these workshops were funded by the New Hampshire Housing Opportunity Panning Grant underwritten by the New Hampshire Finance Authority. This is a joint program of NH Housing and UNH Extension. The councilor noted this seems like a massive coordination at the state level to move things forward and to educate the towns and communities to help solve the housing crisis that we are seeing.

Councilor Ormerod shared Session 1 - Community Engagement:

- Do we have the right information?
- Does the community know and have the right information?
- How can the community participate in workforce housing and other housing solutions?
- How can they be sure that their voices are being to solve this crisis?

Ms. Russell-Slack stated she wanted to go over the definition for "workforce housing"
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For a family of four with an income of $\$ 80,000$ - this family will meet the requirements for workforce housing. Ms. Russell-Slack stated many don't think that workforce housing can go as high as $\$ 80,000$.

Councilor Ormerod continued -
What is the right type of information?
One of the right types of information is what are the types of housing that should be considered and does the City have the right mix. The types of housing to be considered are:
Mixed use; Single-Family; Multi Family; Manufactured Housing; Co-Housing; Senior and Age Restricted Housing; and Accessory Dwelling Units.

Councilor Ormerod stressed how important it was to plan for good community engagement. Education of the public of what the issues are, what the solutions are and what the various definitions are. Such as workforce housing, median income etc.

At another session, storytelling was a method they used for engagement. Councilor Ormerod stated he had Josh Meehan, Executive Director of Keene Housing on his radio show recently who told the story of logjam in workforce housing and how there is no market rate housing available in Keene for people coming off low income vouchers, so they are retained in low income housing. Mr. Meehan also indicated the housing vouchers waiting list is up to 7 years; The number is about 40 families. Mr. Meehan also indicated reducing the two parking space requirement would also help. Ms. Russell-Slack stated a good example of that is the new project that is being constructed on upper Washington Street. More apartments can be added if the parking requirements were different.

Councilor Ormerod referred to another storytelling item. A key informant and community member Beth Daniels, CEO of Southwest Community Services was also a guest on the radio show. Ms. Daniels had stated the rent increases and low apartment stock impacts everyone. Ms. Daniels stated she has heard people say that they have a home and others not having a home should not be their problem. One of the response to such a comment is that the community needs a diverse workforce. Ms. Daniels also indicated home ownership at all income levels is being impacted.

Ms. Russell-Slack stated she did notice on the housing assessment some of the issues that were brought up is that senior citizens don't have money for housing repairs and maintenance. She stated there is money available, the key is educating people to access these resources.

Councilor Ormerod stated the focus of another session was key informant interviews - one on ones with community leaders and people who have access to information and influence. There are tools and independent personal communication tactics that were presented. New Hampshire Listens was the consulting firm that taught some of these very interesting techniques.

Ms. Russell-Slack stated this is her $8^{\text {th }}$ year on the Keene Housing Board and has been dealing with housing issues for a long time. She noted Keene does have a great housing program. She added that Josh Meehan is probably one of the best housing directors in all of New England.
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Councilor Ormerod went over how to respond to opposing views; acknowledging uncomfortable information and realizing at time anxiety causes such feelings and often people feel there is an imbalance in power. The Councilor stated they had 12 or 14 different techniques they were able to role play on how to deal with and talk about important things.

Councilor Ormerod stated they also did a deep dive into reference and updating of data. For example, the US Census Bureau - the last update was in 2020 (update every ten years). The City's master plan is referencing old census data. The American Community Survey comes out every year. There is also the New England Real Estate Network, The Warren Group which provides property deeds and mortgage information. The New Hampshire Housing's Annual Residential Rental Cost Survey, which is done annually looks at median gross rent and vacancy rates.

The fourth session discussed how to engage the whole community. How do we know who to talk to? How do we get people together? Key informant interviews were one issue that was raised. There needs to be a broader audience, we need input from different stakeholder groups.

Councilor Ormerod referred to a flower diagram - who are the constituent groups: young professionals, builder groups, senior citizens, people who have lived in the area for a long time, school alumni etc. Ms. Russell-Slack stated when housing assessment was discussed at council level the topic about seniors who live alone was discussed. She felt bringing seniors together to discuss this topic was important and referred to the Keene Senior Center as a great place to have such a discussion; they are a group of people that need to be included in the housing discussion. Ms. Russell-Slack added the baby boomer generation (which she is part of) is not going away for at least another 10 years. They will be aging out but prefer to stay in their homes.

Councilor Ormerod then talked about some of the insights that the City might want to look at:

- Be current with Keene's housing data - what is the real situation.
- Update land use and zoning - Keene has a very good start, but it probably needs to be publicized so contractors and developers could take advantage of the new standards.
- Price of utilities is increasing faster than cost of living. Keene is promoting more local production of solar and other such items.
- How do we encourage housing trusts - get employers involved in this process.
- Where to build and what type of housing should be built. Councilor Ormerod suggested cluster housing.
- Explore City and county fees and controls in certain sectors.

Ms. Russell-Slack added when she purchased her home 25 years ago she lived in a joint land trust with her neighbor which was a 99 year renewable lease but she was responsible for paying property taxes. She noted Linda Mangones from Cheshire Housing Trust would be a good
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contact for information on land trusts. She added Cheshire Housing Trust has liquidated all its land trusts.

Councilor Ormerod provided an example of a cluster community.
Ms. Russell-Slack encouraged the City to update its master plan, especially the housing chapter which goes back to 2007.

Councilor Bosley thanked Councilor Ormerod and Ms. Russell-Slack for all their work. The Councilor agreed the City needs a parking revision. She also felt the City could have on its website a housing tool to provide the community with housing information.

Councilor Jones thanked Councilor Ormerod and Ms. Russell-Slack for their effort. He indicated what he has learned is Keene needs attainable housing. Most individuals who are renting and are ready to purchase a home can't find anything they can afford. The Councilor talked about Airbnb's - this is not an issue that can be regulated by Council it is something that needs to be addressed at the state level. He felt the City needs more control over Airbnb's - there are no homes for sale but there are plenty of Airbnb's that are available. Ms. Russell-Slack stated she would look into the Airbnb issue for the Committee.

Councilor Bosley stated there is a lot of information coming out about Airbnb's and many cities are reporting $50 \%$ loss in revenue and there is an expectation many of these rentals will be sold and added back into the housing stock. The Councilor also felt the City should look into tax incentives for buildings that are appropriate for seniors - one story living (not nursing homes or 55 plus living).

Councilor Giacomo asked whether there were housing swap programs. Vice-Chair Orgaz stated the only item he could think of is someone assuming another person's mortgage.

## V. New Business

Ms. Brunner stated she has been in contact with JB Mack from Southwest Region Planning Commission to review the regional housing needs assessment. Mr. Mack could be available for the September Joint meeting.

## VI. Next Meeting-Monday, August 14, 2023

## VII Adjourn

There being no further business, the Chairman adjourned the meeting at 8:17 PM.
Respectfully submitted by, Krishni Pahl, Minute Taker

Reviewed and edited by,
Mari Brunner, Senior Planner

## Staff Report - Ordinance 0-2023-13

## The Ordinance

This Ordinance proposes to amend the official Zoning Map of the City of Keene by changing the zoning of one specific parcel of land from the High-Density District to the Commerce District. The total land area that would be impacted by this request is 3.53 acres.

The parcel that is the subject of this request is located at 0 Ashuelot Street (TMP\# 567-001-000) and is owned by JRR Properties LLC. The Applicant for this proposed zoning amendment is JRR Properties and The Monadnock Conservancy with Thomas R. Hanna acting as their agent.

In rezoning decisions, the Petitioner's intended use of the property should not be considered. Rather, the permitted uses allowed in the proposed district should be evaluated for their suitability on the site. Additionally, the Board should consider and review:

- Surrounding land use and zoning patterns;
- The consistency of the proposed rezoning request with the Master Plan;
- Existing and proposed zoning requirements; and,
- Possible resulting impacts.


## Background / Surrounding Land Use and Zoning Patterns

The subject parcel is located on the west side of Ashuelot St , about 500 ft north of the West St intersection. The property was previously used as an overflow parking lot for the former Colony Mill Marketplace. The bituminous parking surface was deteriorated and overgrown due to lack of maintenance and has since been removed and seeded with grass. The property is located in the High Density District; however, prior to the adoption of the Land Development Code in 2021, the parcel was in the Central Business Limited District. Adjacent uses include commercial, single, and multi-family residential.

The proposed Ordinance would create an area of Commerce (COM) that would be bordered by High Density (HD) and Low Density (LD) to the east, Medium Density (MD) to the north,


Figure 1. Aerial image showing the 0 Ashuelot Street property that is the subject of this proposal, highlighted in yellow. COM to the south, and Conservation (CON) to the west. The map on the next page shows the location of the subject parcel in relation to the boundaries of nearby zoning districts.


Figure 2. Map that shows the location of the property at 0 Ashuelot Street in relation to the boundaries of nearby zoning districts.

## Master Plan Consistency

## Community Vision

The Vision Focus Area that is most relevant to the proposed rezoning is Focus Area 2: A Unique Natural Environment. Achieving a unique natural environment includes adaptive reuse of existing developed parcels. This can include returning a developed parcel to a natural state in order to accommodate the natural, undisturbed environment surrounding the subject parcel. This area of the City is within the 100 year flood plain. Any future development of the area would require low impact development (LID) techniques such as green infrastructure and floodplain mitigation strategies. The proposed zoning change would provide the opportunity for commercial activity and housing above the ground floor.

## Future Land Use Plan

The subject parcel of this proposal is located in the West Side/Ashuelot Neighborhood identified within the Future Land Use Map as being, "...nestled between the Ashuelot River, Court Street, and north of West Street. It is comprised of a mix of office and commercial uses that transition to residential uses, combined with open space and trail amenities." The proposed zoning change would allow the mix of office, commercial, and residential uses to continue to
transition into predominantly Medium-Density and Low-Density residential uses that currently exist in the neighborhood. The neighborhood is also identified as part of the City's Primary Growth Area that is best suited for mixed use and transitional developments. The proposed zoning change will allow for office/commercial uses as well as residential uses above the ground floor of any future building.

## Economic Development Chapter

The Comprehensive Master Plan recognizes, "...the need for a strong and diverse economy in order to grow and prosper and enhance Keene's quality of life." The Economic Development Chapter discusses that the community wants new businesses that support the City's goals for social, financial, and environmental responsibility. This proposal would increase the opportunity for economic diversity that is oriented to serving the neighborhood that the parcel is located in as well as the community at large.

Characteristics of Existing and Proposed Zoning Districts

## Intent of the Zoning Districts:

The proposal is to convert the subject parcel at 0 Ashuelot Street from the High Density to the Commerce District. A description of these districts from the Zoning Ordinance is included below.

- Current Zoning - High Density: The High Density (HD) District is intended to provide for high intensity residential development and associated uses. All uses in this district shall have city water and sewer service. (Section 3.6 of the Land Development Code)
- Proposed Zoning - Commerce: The Commerce (COM) District is intended to provide an area for intense commercial development that is accessed predominantly by vehicles. Shopping plazas and multiple businesses in one building would be typical in this district. All uses in this district shall have city water and sewer service. (Section 5.1 of the Land Development Code)

Based on the intent statements, the proposed zoning for the subject parcel could be appropriate in that City water and sewer service is available via Ashuelot Street and vehicular connection to Ashuelot Street via West Street allows for easy travel to the parcel.

## District Uses:

The permitted uses of the High-Density District (existing) and the Commerce District (proposed) differ significantly. Both the High Density and Commerce Districts allow for Multi-family dwellings, Neighborhood Grocery Store, Senior Center, Domestic Violence Shelter, Lodginghouse, Residential Care Facility, Conservation Area, and Telecommunications Facilities uses. There are a large number of commercial uses as well as institutional, industrial, infrastructure, and transportation uses that are permitted within COM that are not permitted in HD, and there are a few residential uses that are permitted within HD that are not allowed in COM. Table 1 shows the permitted principal uses for the Commerce District, and Table 2 shows the permitted principal uses for the High-Density District.

Table 1. Permitted Principal Uses for the Commerce District


## Table 2. Permitted Principal Uses for the High-Density District

| RESIDENTIAL USES |  | SECTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dwelling. Above Ground Floor | P | 8.3.1. A |
| Dwelling. Multifamily | $P$ | 8.3.1. C |
| Dwelling. Single-Family | $P$ | 8.3.1.D |
| Dwelling. Two-Family / Duplex COMMERCIAL USES | P | 8.3.1.E <br> SECTION |
| Bed and Breakfast | SE | 8.3.2.G |
| Neighborhood Grocery Store INSTITUTIONAL USES | SE | 8.3.2.U <br> SECTION |
| Senior Center <br> CONGREGATE LIVING/ SOCIAL SERVICES USES | SE | 8.3.3.G <br> SECTION |
| Domestic Violence Shelter | $\mathrm{P}^{1}$ | 8.3.4.A |
| Group Home, Large | CUP | 8.3.4.E |
| Group Home, Small | CUP | 8.3.4.F |
| Lodginghouse | CUP | 8.3.4.1 |
| Residential Care Facility OPEN SPACE USES | CUP | 8.3.4.J <br> SECTION |
| Community Garden | P | 8.3.6.B |
| Conservation Area <br> INFRASTRUCTURE USES | P | 8.3.6.C <br> SECTION |
| Telecommunications Facilities | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 8.3.7.E |
| $\mathrm{P}=$ Permitted <br> $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{i}}=$ Permitted with limitations per Article 8. <br> SE $=$ Permitted by Special Exception <br> CUP $=$ Permitted by Conditional Use Permit |  |  |

## Dimensional Requirements:

Table 3 highlights the dimensional requirements for the Commerce and High-Density Districts. The Road Frontage and Building Setback requirements for the two districts are similar but overall, the dimensional requirements of the two districts reflect their differences in allowed uses. The High-Density District has a Minimum Lot Width at Building Line requirement that all residential districts have in the City of Keene and that the Commerce District does not require. The Commerce District allows for buildings up to four stories with setback and step-back requirements, whereas the High Density only allows two story buildings.

Table 3. Dimensional Regulations for Commerce and High-Density Districts

| Dimensional Standard | Commerce | High-Density |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum Lot Area | $15,000 \mathrm{sf}$ | $6,000 \mathrm{sf}$ |
| Minimum Lot Width at Building Line | - | 50 ft |
| Minimum Road Frontage | 50 ft | 50 ft |
| Minimum Front Setback | 20 ft | 15 ft |
| Minimum Rear Setback | $20 / 50 \mathrm{ft}$ | 15 ft |
| Minimum Side Setback | 20 ft | 10 ft |
| Maximum Building Coverage | $80 \%$ | $55 \%$ |
| Maximum Impervious Coverage | $80 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| Minimum Green / Open Space | $20 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Maximum Stories Above Grade | $2 / 3 / 4$ | 2 |
| Maximum Building Height | $35 / 42 / 56 \mathrm{ft}$ | 35 ft |

## Implications of the proposed Change

Density of Development
The proposed amendment would create an area of Commerce that is surrounded by residential districts to the north and east, the Conservation District to the west, and Commerce to the south and southeast. The proposed change would increase the number of allowed uses for the parcel. It is worth noting that prior to the adoption of the Land Development Code in 2021, the parcel was in the Central Business Limited (CBL) District. The intent of the CBL district was to, "...be an extension of the central business zone. It is next to the CB zone and is oriented toward vehicular access rather than pedestrian. The uses permitted are expanded to include those that would require larger lots than are available in the CB zone. On-site parking is required as is on-site landscaping and buffering when abutting residential zones." The change from High-Density to Commerce would bring the parcel more in line with what was historically allowed on the parcel before it was changed to High Density.

## Provision of city water and sewer service

The parcel has existing City water and sewer service connections along Ashuelot Street. Both the High-Density and Commerce Districts require City water and sewer service. Any future development on the parcel will need to determine if the existing systems have sufficient capacity to serve the proposed use.

## Recommendation:

If the Committee is inclined to approve this request, the following language is recommended for the motion for each board.

## Planning Board motion:

To find proposed Ordinance 0-2023-13 consistent with the 2010 Comprehensive Master Plan.

## Planning, License and Development Committee motion:

To recommend that the Mayor set a public hearing date.

JRR Properties LLC and The Monadnock Conservancy ${ }^{\text {Date: }}$
Address:

P.O. Box 323, Keene, NH 03431; P.O. Box 337, Keene, NH 03431

Telephone: (603) 352-1928
Email: hanna@nhlandlaw.com
Property Owner: JRR Properties LLC
Location of Property to be Rezoned: 0 Ashuelot Street, Gene, NH
Approximate Acreage: 3.53_Present Zoning District: HD Proposed Zoning District: COM Parcel ID \#'s of Property to be Rezoned: 567-001-000


Petitioner's Signature

## SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS WHICH MUST BE COMPLETE AT TIME OF SUBMISSION TO THE CITY CLERK:

- A properly drafted Ordinance containing the full description of the property to be rezoned (by Parcel ID numbers) or metes and bounds description, as appropriate) and the proposed amendment.
- A typed or neatly printed narrative explaining the purpose of, effect of, and justification for the proposed changes).
- $\$ 100.00$ application fee.
- As provided for in RSA 675:7, if the proposed amendment changes the boundary of a zoning district, the Petitioner shall submit a notarized list of all property owners within the zoning district directly affected by the proposed boundary line change, and of all property owners outside of the zoning district that abut the proposed boundary line change. This list shall include the tax map number and address of each abutter and owner, and must be current with the Assessing Department's records within ten days of submittal. The list shall also include the name of any agent who should receive notice. Two sets of mailing labels shall be provided.
- Three maps showing the boundary of the area or areas to be changed, one at $81 / 2^{\prime \prime} \times 11^{\prime \prime}$ and two at City tax map scale ( $24^{\prime \prime} \times 36^{\prime \prime}$ ).


## APPLICATION TO AMEND THE ZONING MAP



Public Hearing to be held: $\qquad$

## APPLICABLE FEES:

Application Fee @ \$100.00
$\$ \$ 100$
Publication of Notice in The Keene Sentinel @ $\$ 90.00$
$\$ \quad \$ 90$
Postage Fees for property owners/agents and abutters at current USPS $1^{\text {st }}$ Class Mailing rate
Total Fees submitted to City Clerk
$\$ 16$ X $\$ 0.63$
$\$ \$ 200.08$

The petitioner is also responsible for the publication costs for the public workshop before the joint Planning Board and Planning, Licenses and Development Committee. Additional fees will be collected by the Community Development Department for the mailing costs associated with the public workshop as well as the publication of the public workshop notice.

## Petition for Zoning Map Change for 0 Ashuelot Street (TMP\# 567-001-000) <br> ZONE MAP CHANGE APPLICATION NARRATIVE <br> 1. Description of Proposed Amendment and Property to be Rezoned

This application proposes to rezone the parcel at 0 Ashuelot Street (Tax Map \#567-001-000) from the High Density District to the Commerce District. Prior to its current use as undeveloped open space, this 3.53-acre parcel was used as an overflow parking lot for the former Colony Mill Marketplace on West Street. In 2013, JRR Properties LLC ("Owner") purchased this parcel with the goal of converting most of the land into a vibrant and active community park for children and also a public landing for canoes and kayaks to access the Ashuelot River. For the past decade, the Owner and the City of Keene have worked together on designs and plans for a city greenspace on this land. In 2022, the City Council accepted the Owner's offer to donate approximately 2.5 acres of the parcel for use as a city park and authorized the City Manager to enter into a purchase and sales agreement with the Owner. Following subdivision, the Owner intends to donate the remaining land to the Monadnock Conservancy for construction of a net-zero energy building for the organization's regional headquarters and also a medium-scale solar energy system that will provide power to this building, with surplus energy to go to the grid via net metering.

The subject parcel is adjacent to three different zoning districts, not including the High Density District. To the west is the Ashuelot River Park, which is in the Conservation District. To the south is a commercial plaza owned by Mascoma Bank, which is in the Commerce District. To the north is a mixture of multifamily and single family residences along Ashuelot Court, and further north is Keene Housing's Harper Acres, which has 112 units spread among 15 buildings. These residences are in the Medium Density District. Across Ashuelot Street are single family residences in the Low Density District and two mixed use parcels in the High Density District. Most of the subject parcel is in the 100 -Year Floodplain and is subject to the City's Floodplain Regulations.

Although the subject parcel is currently undeveloped vacant land, it is served by City water and sewer. It has $376.9 \pm$ feet of road frontage on Ashuelot Street and is located approximately 460 feet from the signalized intersection of West Street and Ashuelot Street. The West Street corridor is a major commercial/gateway corridor that consistently experiences high traffic volumes. Over 14,800 vehicles travel through this intersection on West Street daily according to the NH Department of Transportation's Traffic Data Management System.

## 1I. Purpose of and Justification for Change

The purpose of this zone change request is to provide the opportunity for mixed use development and more options for sustainable energy uses on the subject parcel. Prior to the recent adoption of the Land

## Petition for Zoning Map Change for 0 Ashuelot Street (TMP\# 567-001-000)

Development Code in 2021, the parcel was in the Central Business Limited (CBL) District. The CBL District was an extension of the Central Business District and was oriented toward vehicular access and commercial/mixed uses that required larger lots than what was available in the Central Business District. The purpose of this district was similar to the intent of the Commerce District, which is to provide an area for commercial development that is accessed predominantly by vehicles. The two districts also had similar types of permitted uses. However, as part of the land use code update, both the CBL and Central Business Districts were eliminated. Given the location of the parcel at the confluence of several zoning districts, the City provided the Owner with the option of rezoning the subject parcel to either the Commerce or High Density District. At the time, the Owner and the Monadnock Conservancy had not yet discussed the donation of a portion of the site to the Monadnock Conservancy for use as its headquarters and conservation education center. As such, the Owner chose the High Density District to preserve the option for residential development. Now that formal plans for this parcel are established, the Owner requests that this parcel be rezoned to the Commerce District.

As noted above, the Owner intends to donate approximately 2.5 acres of the subject parcel to the City for use as a park/greenspace. The remaining acre ( $\pm$ ) of land will be donated to the Monadnock Conservancy to construct a net-zero energy building that will provide office space for its staff, room for meetings/events, and area to share environmental and conservation educational information. To power this building, a 100 kW (AC) solar energy system is proposed, which will be mounted on both the Monadnock Conservancy's roof, the ground, and on the roof of carports in the parking area. Based on the estimated size/area of the proposed ground-mounted portion of the system, it will likely be classified as a "Medium Scale Ground Mounted Solar Energy System" in accordance with Section 8.3.7.B of the Land Development Code. The parcel's current zoning designation of High Density prohibits offices and ground-mounted solar energy systems as permitted primary uses. Further, since the proposed solar energy system will share its surplus power with the energy grid via net metering, it is considered a second primary use of the parcel. The High Density District does not permit more than one primary use on a parcel. Under the current zoning, variances would be required to permit the proposed office use and ground-mounted solar energy system, and to allow for multiple primary uses on the lot.

The proposed zone change is consistent with the objectives for future land use in the City's Comprehensive Master Plan. The subject parcel is located in the Court Street (West Side)/Ashuelot Neighborhood, which the Master Plan describes as being comprised of a mix of office and commercial uses that transition to residential uses, combined with open space and trail amenities (see page 121 of the 2010 Comprehensive Master Plan). This neighborhood is in the area designated as the City's Primary Growth Area on the Future Land Use Map on pages 125 and 126 of the Comprehensive Master Plan, and is

## Petition for Zoning Map Change for 0 Ashuelot Street (TMP\# 567-001-000)

identified as being a traditional neighborhood with mixed uses. The proposed rezoning will allow for mixed uses, including office uses, to occur on this parcel. It will also return this parcel to a zoning district that permits both commercial and residential uses.

The proposed rezoning will also support the City's goals for increasing renewable energy generation, which appear in both the 2010 Comprehensive Master Plan and the 2021 Sustainable Energy Plan. The High Density District does not permit ground-mounted solar energy systems as a primary use on a lot, whereas the Commerce District permits small-scale ground-mounted solar energy systems outright and medium-scale ground-mounted solar energy systems by Conditional Use Permit.

## III. Effect of Proposed Zone Change

The expansion of the Commerce District to include the subject parcel will enhance opportunities for mixed use and sustainable development in an area that has historically allowed for commercial uses. Prior to 2021, the parcel was in a zoning district that permitted higher intensity commercial uses and mixed use development, and it had historically been used as a commercial parking lot. Over the years, various commercial uses have been proposed for the site, including an 83 -unit condominium complex, which received site plan approval from the Planning Board in 2007. This proposal never moved forward due to the 2008 recession. The parcel is also directly adjacent to the section of the Commerce District that encompasses the West Street corridor between its intersections with Ashuelot Street/Gilbo Ave and the Route 9/10/12 onramp.

The proposed zone change will not render the lot or its current use nonconforming. The existing use of the site, which is undeveloped open space, is a permitted use in the Commerce District. The subject parcel, which is $153,767 \mathrm{sq}$. ft., has more than sufficient land area to satisfy the $15,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. minimum lot size requirement of the Commerce District. In addition, the permitted uses in the Commerce District align with the proposed uses of the parcel, which include greenspace/conservation area, office and environmental/conservation education center, and medium-scale ground mounted solar energy system.

CITY OF KEENE

In the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand and Twenty-Three

AN ORDINANCE Relating to Change of Zone - 0 Ashuelot Street - High Density to Commerce

Be it ordained by the City Council of the City of Keene, as follows:

That the Zoning Map of the City of Keene, as amended, be hereby further amended by changing the zoning designation of the parcel at 0 Ashuelot Street (Parcel ID: 567-001-000) in the City of Keene, County of Cheshire, State of New Hampshire from High Density to Commerce.

Technologies www.cai-tech.com

July 6, 2023


| PWater | Dam | TaxmapText_Arrowheads | LOW DENSITY | Green: Band 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Private Road | Property Hook | Wet Areas | MEDIUM DENSITY | Blue: Band_3 |
| Property Line | Property TIC | Water-poly | HIGH DENSITY | World Hillshade |
| Public Road | Wetland | Downtown Subdistricts | CONSERVATION |  |
| Right of Way | WaterLines | DOWNTOWN DISTRICTS | Red: Band_1 |  |
| Utility | TaxmapText_Leaders | COMMERCE |  |  |

Data shown on this map is provided for planning and informational purposes only. The municipality and CAI Technologies are not responsible for any use for other purposes or misuse or misrepresentation of this map.

### 3.6 HIGH DENSITY (HD)

### 3.6.1 Purpose

The High Density (HD) District is intended to provide for high intensity residential development and associated uses. All uses in this district shall have city water and sewer service.

### 3.6.2 Dimensions \& Siting

| Min Lot Area | $6,000 \mathrm{sf}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Min lot area for single <br> dwelling unit | $6,000 \mathrm{sf}$ |
| Min lot area for each <br> additional dwelling unit | $5,000 \mathrm{sf}$ |
| Min Lot Width at Building Line | 50 ft |
| Min Road Frontage | .50 ft |
| Min Front Setback | 15 ft |
| Min Rear Setback | 15 ft |
| Min Side Setback | 10 ft |

### 3.6.3 Euildout

| Max Building Coverage | $55 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Max Impervious Coverage | $75 \%$ |
| Min Green / Open Space | $25 \%$ |

### 3.6.4 Height

Max Stories Above Grade Max Building Height
3.6.5 Permitted Usee

| RESIDENTIAL USES |  | SECTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dwelling, Above Ground Floor | P | 8.3.1. A |
| Dwelling, Multifamily | P | 8.3.1.C |
| Dwelling, Single-Family | $p$ | 8.3.1. D |
| Dwelling, Two-Family / Duplex | P | 8.3.1.E |
| COMMERCIAL USES |  | SECTION |
| Bed and Breakfast | SE | 8.3.2.G |
| Neighborhood Grocery Store | SE | 8.3.2.U |
| INSTITUTIONAL USES |  | SECTION |
| Senior Center | SE | 8.3.3.G |
| CONGREGATE LIVING / SOCIAL SERVICES USES |  | SECTION |
| Domestic Violence Shelter | $P_{1}$ | 8.3.4.A |
| Group Home, Large | CUP | 8.3.4.E |
| Group Home, Small | CUP | 8.3.4.F |
| Lodginghouse | CUP | 8.3.4.1 |
| Residential Care Facility | CUP | 8.3.4.J |
| OPEN SPACE USES |  | SECTION |
| Community Garden | P | 8.3.6.B |
| Conservation Area | P | 8.3.6.C |
| INFRASTRUCTURE USES |  | SECTION |
| Telecommunications Facilities | $\mathrm{P}^{1}$ | 8.3.7.E |
| $\begin{aligned} & P=\text { Permitted } \\ & P 1=\text { Pernitted with limitations per Article } 8 \text {. } \\ & S E=\text { Permitted by Special Exception } \\ & C U P=\text { Permitted by Conditional Use Permit } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |



### 5.1 COMMERCE (COM)

### 5.1.1 Purpese

The Commerce (COM) District is intended to provide an area for intense commercial development that is accessed predominantly by vehicles. Shopping plazas and multiple businesses in one building would be typical in this district. All uses in this district shall have city water and sewer service.

### 5.1.2 Dimensions \& Siting

| Min Lot Area | $15,000 \mathrm{sf}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Min Road Frontage | 50 ft |
| Min Front Setback |  |$\quad 20 \mathrm{ft}, \ldots$,

## 5.1 .3 Buildout

| Max Building Coverage | $80 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Max Impervious Coverage | $80 \%$ |
| Min Green / Open Space | $20 \%$ |

### 5.1.4 Height




### 5.1.5 Permitted Uses

RESIDENTIAL USES

| Dwelling, Multi-family | P1 | 8.3.1.C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMMERCIAL USES |  | SECTION |
| Animal Care Facility | P | 8.3.2.B |
| Art Gallery | P | 8.3.2.C |
| Art or Fitness Studio | P | 8.3.2.p |
| Banking or Lending Institution | $P$. | 8.3.2.E |
| Bar | P | 8.3.2.F |
| Car Wash | P | 8.3.2. H |
| Clinic | P | 8.3.2.1 |
| Event Venue | $P$ | 8.3.2.! |
| Funeral Home | $P$ | 8.3.2. K |
| Greenhouse / Nursery | P | 8.3.2. |
| Health Center / Gym | P | 8.3.2.M |
| Hotel/Motel | P | 8.3.2.0 |
| Micro-Brewery/Micro-Distillery/ Micro-Winery | P | 8.3.2.Q-S |
| Motor Vehicle Dealership | $P$ | 8.3.2.T |
| Neighborhood Grocery Store | $P$ | 8.3.2.U |
| Office | $P$ | 8.3.2.V |
| Personal Service Establishment | P | 8.3.2.W |
| Private Club/Lodge | P | 8.3.2. X |
| Recreation/Entertainment Facility - Indoor | P | 8.3.2.Y |
| Recreation/Entertainment Facility - Outdoor | P | 8.3.2.2 |
| Research and Development | P | 8.3.2.AA |
| Restaurant | P | 8.3.2.AB |
| Retail Establishment, Heavy | P | 8.3.2.AC |
| Retail Establishment, Light | P | 8.3.2.AD |
| Self Storage Facility - Exterior Access | P | 8.3.2.AE |
| Self Storage Facility - Interior Access | P | 8.3.2.AF |
| Sexually Oriented Business | $\stackrel{P}{P}$ | 8.3.2.AG |
| Specialty Food Service | P | 8.3.2.AH |
| Vehicle Fueling Station. | $\mathrm{P}^{1}$ | 8.3.2. Al |
| Vehicle Rental Service | P | 8.3.2.AJ |
| Vehicle Repair Focility - Major | P1 | 8.3.2.AK |
| Vehicle Repair Facility - Minor | P1 | 8.3.2.AL |
| INSTITUTIONAL USES |  | SECTION |
| Community Center | P1 | 8.3.3.A |
| Cultural Facility | $\mathrm{P}^{1}$ | 8.3.3. B |
| Day Care Center | P | 8.3.3. C |
| Place of Worship | $\mathrm{P}^{1}$ | 8.3.3.E |


| Private School | P1 | 8.3.3.F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Senior Center | P1 | 8.3.3.G |
| CONGREGATE LIVING / SOCIAL SERVICES USES |  | SECTION |
| Domestic Violence Shelter | P1 | 8.3.4.A |
| Drug Treatment Clinic | CUP | 8.3.4.B |
| Food Pantry | P | 8.3.4.D |
| Group Resource Center | CUP | 8.3.4.G |
| Homeless Shelter | CUP | 8.3.4.H |
| Lodginghouse. | CUP | 8.3.4.! |
| Residential Care Facility | CUP | 8.3.4.] |
| Residential Drug/Alcohol Treatment Facility | CUP | 8.3.4.K |
| INDUSTRIAL USES |  | SECTION |
| Artisanal Production | $\mathrm{P}^{1}$. | 8.3.5.A |
| Data Center | P | 8.3.5.C |
| Industrial, Light | SE | 8.3.5.E |
| Outdoor Storage Yard | P | 8.3.5.F |
| OPEN SPACE USES |  | SECTION |
| Conservation Area | P | 8.3.6.C |
| INFRASTRUCTURE USES |  | SECTION |
| Solar Energy System (Small-Scale) | P1 | 8.3.7.A |
| Solar Energy System (MediumScale) | CUP | 8.3.7.B |
| Telecommunications Facilities | P1 | 8.3.7.E |
| TRANSPORTATION USES |  | SECTION |
| Parking Lot (Principal Use) | $\mathrm{P}^{1}$ | 8.3.8.A |
| Parking - Structured Facility (Principal Use) | P1 | 8.3.8.B |
| $P=$ Permitted <br> $P^{1}=$ Permitted with limitations per Article <br> SE = Permitted by Special Exception <br> CUP $=$ Permitted by Conditional Use Perm |  |  |

DIVISION 12. - CENTRAL BUSINESS LIMITED (CBL)

```
Fochnotes:
    - (6) -.
    Cross reference- Licenses and permils, ch. 46.
```

;

Sec. 102-511. - intent.
The intent of the central business limited (CBL) district is to be an extension of the central business zone. It is next to the CB zone and is oriented toward vehicular access rather than pedestrian. The uses permitted are expanded to include those that would require larger lots than are available in the CB zone. On-site parking is required as is on-site landscaping and buffering when abutting residential zones.
(Code 1970, § 2305.9)

Sec. 102-512. - Permitted uses
Permitted uses in the central business limited (CBL) district are as follows:

| Permitted Use | Subject to the Following: |
| :--- | :--- |
| Banking or lending institutions |  |
| Bed and breakfast inn/tourist home |  |
| Boardinghouse/lodginghouse |  |
| Clinic | Condition: Minimum of four or more dwelling units. |
| Drive-in uses | Special exception required for all outdoor activities. |
| Dwelling, multifamily |  |
| Funeral parlor |  |
| Garage, business | Condition: No outside storage of dismantled vehicles or vehicle parts between |
| Health and fitness center | building line and street. All outside storage must be screened from any adjacest |
| residential use by a minimum six-foot-high fence, hedge or other impervious |  |
| buffer along internal lot lines which separate such use from adjacent residential |  |
| use. No display or storage within ten feet of curb or curbline. |  |
| Hotel | Condition; See division 10 of article V of this chapter pertaining to filling stations, |
| Institutional use | service stations, repair garages, paint shops, vehicle body shops. |
| Motel/motel apartment |  |
| Motor vehicle dealership |  |
|  |  |
| Motor vehicle service station/filling station |  |
| Nursery or child care facility | Special exception. |
| Nursing home, sanitarium, convalescent home, home for the aged |  |
| Office |  |
| Parking area (lot) |  |
| Place of worship |  |
| Private club, lodge, or fraternal activity where primary function is indoors |  |
| Privately owned school |  |
| Recreational activity as a business |  |
| Research and development |  |
| Setail sales and services |  |

(Code 1970, 5 2305.9; Ord. No. O-2009-02-A, 5-21-2009)

Secs. 102-513-102-540. - Reserved.


